UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2010 question paper for the guidance of teachers

0470 HISTORY

0470/22

Paper 2, maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

• CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2010 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.



| Page 2 | Mark Scheme: Teachers' version | Syllabus | Paper |
|--------|--------------------------------|----------|-------|
| | IGCSE – October/November 2010 | 0470 | 22 |
| | 1000E Ottober/Hoveliber 2010 | 0470 | |

| 1 | Study Sources A and B. How far do these two sources agree? Explain your answer usidetails of the sources. | ng |
|---|---|-------------|
| | Level 1 Describes sources, no valid comparison. | [1] |
| | Level 2 Disagree: One source contains information that the other does not. | [2] |
| | Level 3 Agreement OR disagreement of detail. | [3] |
| | Level 4 Agreement AND disagreement of detail. | [4] |
| | Level 5 Overall disagreement on impact of Reconstruction. Award 7 marks for getting the slight qualification in both sources. [5- | -7] |
| 2 | Study Source C. How far are you surprised by this source? Explain your answer usidetails of the source and your knowledge. | ing |
| | Level 1 Describes source, no question focus. | [1] |
| | Level 2 Valid answers that fail to address surprise. OR | [2] |
| | Identifies something which is / is not surprising, but no valid explanation. | |
| | Level 3 Surprised ex-slave owners are not nasty, based on stereotype. OR Everyday empathy. [3- | - 4] |
| | e.g. Surprised: Lewis is doing fine, so why would he leave? | |
| | Level 4 Surprised / Not surprised by Lewis's actions, with explanation. [5- | - 7] |
| | i.e. Explanation will probably be based on cross-reference. | |
| | Level 5 Not surprised, based on paternalism / condescension of ex-slave owners. [7- | -8] |
| 3 | Study Sources D and E. Would the cartoonists of these two cartoons have agreed above events in the South? Explain your answer using details of the sources and you knowledge. | |
| | Level 1 Describes sources, no valid comparison. [1- | -2] |
| | Level 2 Comparison of surface details for dis/agreement. [3- | -4] |
| | e.g. Disagree: no whites voting in D, but there are in Source E. | |
| | Level 3 Valid disagreements of message. [5- | -7] |
| | e.g. Optimistic (D), pessimistic (E) / things going well in D, not in E / blacks deferential E, not in D etc. | , in |

[8]

Level 4 Agree: Both cartoonists in favour of black civil rights (voting).

| Page 3 | Mark Scheme: Teachers' version | Syllabus | Paper |
|--------|--------------------------------|----------|-------|
| | IGCSE – October/November 2010 | 0470 | 22 |

4 Study Sources F and G. Is one of these two sources more reliable than the other? Explain your answer using details of the sources and your knowledge.

Level 1 Describes sources *OR* unsupported assertions. [1]

Level 2 Undeveloped provenance. [2–3]

Level 3 Reliability judged by tone / language of source. [3–4]

e.g. In Source F, 'our schools' indicates blacks still viewed as outsiders, language of Source G more emotional etc.

Level 4 Cross-reference to other sources to judge reliability. [5–6]

Level 5 Evaluation of purpose / audience to judge reliability. [7–8]

5 Study Source H. Was this cartoon published by the North or by the South? Explain your answer using details of the source and your knowledge.

Level 1 Describes cartoon, no valid explanation. [1]

Level 2 South: misinterpretation supported from source. [2–3]

Level 3 North: details of the cartoon used as support. [4–5]

Level 4 North: purpose – why the North would want to represent events in this way. [6–7]

6 Study all the sources. How far do these sources provide convincing evidence that Black Americans benefited from Reconstruction? Use the sources to explain your answer.

Level 1 No valid source use. [1–3]

Level 2 Uses sources to support *OR* reject the statement. [4–6]

Level 3 Uses sources to support AND reject the statement. [7–10]

Up to 2 bonus marks for any evaluation of sources (No more than 1 per source).

Source use must be reference to a source by letter, provenance, or direct quote, and use examples of source content. There must be an explanation of how this does / does not support the statement.

Use 'Y' in the margin for each source in support of the statement, and 'N' for each source that rejects it.

| YES | NO | |
|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| A [B] C D [E] F [G] | [A] B [C] E G H | |

| Page 4 | Mark Scheme: Teachers' version | Syllabus | Paper |
|--------|--------------------------------|----------|-------|
| | IGCSE – October/November 2010 | 0470 | 22 |

20th Century Option

| | Zoni Ochlary Option | | | |
|---|--|--------|--|--|
| 1 | Study Sources A and B. How far do these sources agree? Explain your answer details of the sources. | using | | |
| | Level 1 Describes sources, no valid comparison. | [1] | | |
| | Level 2 Disagree: one source contains information that the other does not. | [2] | | |
| | Level 3 Agreement OR disagreement of detail. | [3] | | |
| | e.g. In A the damage is mainly caused by the Basques, in B it is mainly caused Republicans. | by the | | |
| | Level 4 Agreement AND disagreement of detail. | [4] | | |
| | Level 5 Overall disagreement on who was to blame. | [5–7] | | |
| | Award higher marks for support from sources and / or focus on the authors' opinions. | | | |
| 2 | Study Sources C and D. Is either of these sources the more reliable? Explain your a using details of the sources and your knowledge. | ınswer | | |
| | Level 1 Describes sources OR unsupported assertions. | [1] | | |
| | Level 2 Undeveloped provenance. | [2–3] | | |
| | Level 3 Reliability judged by tone / language of source. | [3–4] | | |
| | e.g. D more reliable because he admits some blame, C less reliable beca | use of | | |

[5–6]

[7–8]

emotional language etc.

Level 4 Cross-reference to other sources to judge reliability.

Level 5 Evaluation of purpose / audience to judge reliability.

| Page : | 5 | Mark Scheme: Teachers' version | Syllabus | Paper |
|---------|------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|
| | | IGCSE – October/November 2010 | 0470 | 22 |
| • | | ce E. Why was this source published? Explain y your knowledge. | your answer using | details of the |
| Level 1 | Des | cribes source, no reason given | | [1] |
| Level 2 | ? To g | give the information that Guernica had been bombe | ed | [2] |
| Level 3 | Con | text: because Guernica had been bombed | | [3] |
| Level 4 | Impa | act: to gain sympathy for the victims. | | [4] |
| | i.e. | Impact but with no political dimension. | | |
| Level 5 | Valid | d messages about Guernica. | | [5–6] |
| | e.g. | To say how brutal the bombings were. | | |
| | <i>OR</i> Und | eveloped political impact | | |
| | e.g. | To stimulate opposition to the Nationalists. | | |
| Level 6 | Impa Dev | eloped political impact of the message act could be national and / or international. elopment could be message plus political impac ner detail. | t, or political impa | [7–8 ct explained in |
| _ | | ces F and G. Is either of these two sources us Explain your answer using details of the source | | |
| Level 1 | Des | cribes sources, no utility. | | [1 |
| Level 2 | | eveloped provenance. | | |
| | | ful for information. | | |
| | OR Use | ful based on misinterpretation of Source G as being | g about Guernica. | [2–3] |
| Level 3 | | ning to do with Guernica, so no use. | | [4–5] |
| | <i>OR</i> Not | useful: things about Guernica they do not tell me. | | |
| Level 4 | Dev | eloped evaluation of source for reliability (inc. cross | s-reference). | [5–6 |
| Level 5 | Use | ful for what they suggest about Guernica. | | [7–8 |
| | i.e. | They aren't about Guernica, but they can still be us | sed to suggest thing | gs about it. |
| | _ | F suggests that it was true that the Germans were G suggests that if Italians did this kind of the | | |

G suggests that if Italians did this kind of thing, then so would Germans / Nationalists.

G gives us an idea of what Guernica would have been like etc.

(NOT that the Italians bombed Guernica).

| Page 6 | Mark Scheme: Teachers' version | Syllabus | Paper |
|--------|--------------------------------|----------|-------|
| | IGCSE – October/November 2010 | 0470 | 22 |

5 Study Source H. Are you surprised by what Kemp has to say? Explain your answer using details of the source and your knowledge.

Level 1 Describes source, no question focus.

[1]

Level 2 Valid answers that fail to address surprise.

[2]

Identifies something which is / is not surprising, but no valid explanation.

Level 3 Cross-reference to explain surprised *OR* not surprised.

[3-4]

Level 4 Cross-reference to explain surprised AND not surprised.

[5–6]

Level 5 Surprised / not surprised that, as a Nationalist, he would be willing to admit Nationalists were to blame.

e.g. Not surprised because it was so long after the events / That he would want to present Nationalist actions in a reasonable light.

Study all the sources. How far do these sources provide convincing evidence that the Germans used terror bombing on Guernica? Use the sources to explain your answer.

Level 1 No valid source use.

[1–3]

Level 2 Uses sources to support *OR* reject the statement.

[4–6]

Level 3 Uses sources to support AND reject the statement.

[7–10]

Up to 2 bonus marks for any evaluation of sources (No more than 1 per source).

Source use must be reference to a source by letter, provenance, or direct quote, and use examples of source content. There must be an explanation of how this does / does not support the statement.

Use 'Y' in the margin for each source in support of the statement, and 'N' for each source that rejects it.

| YES | NO |
|--------|---------------|
| ACE[F] | A B D [E] G H |